

VOLUME 1 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2023

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

(Free Publication and Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page - https://ls.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ls.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 1 and Issue 1 (Access Full Issue on - https://ls.iledu.in/category/volume-1-and-issue-1-of-2023/)

## **Publisher**

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education (Established by I.L.E. Educational Trust)

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone: +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer https://ls.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/



**VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023** 

APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

# **Published by**

**Institute of Legal Education** 

https://iledu.in

# MEDIA TRIAL: THE IMPACT OF SENSATIONALIZED COVERAGE ON FAIR TRIALS AND PUBLIC PERCEPTION

AUTHOR - MUBASHARA FATIMA, STUDENT OF UNITY PG AND LAW COLLEGE, LUCKNOW

**BEST CITATION -** MUBASHARA FATIMA, MEDIA TRIAL: THE IMPACT OF SENSATIONALIZED COVERAGE ON FAIR TRIALS AND PUBLIC PERCEPTION, *ILE LEX SPECULUM (ILE LS)*, 1 (1) OF 2023, PG. 146-150, APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2.

#### **Abstract**

Media trials, a contentious phenomenon shaping public opinion, have become prevalent in today's digital age. This article explores the ethical, social, and legal implications of media trials on the right to a fair trial and freedom of the press. Media trials involve sensationalized and biased coverage of criminal cases and public controversies, often leading to prejudiced public perceptions and potential impacts on judicial proceedings. While freedom of the press is vital for transparency and accountability, it can clash with the principles of justice when media narratives prejudice the minds of the public and even influence jurors and judges. Striking a delicate balance between the media's responsibility to inform the public and the need to protect the right to a fair trial remains a challenge. This abstract delves into the complexities of media trials and offers insights into addressing the ethical dilemmas they pose.

Keywords: - Media trial, Public opinion, Fair trial, Journalism, Freedom of press.

#### Introduction

"Fair is foul and foul is fair", Macbeth

In today's digital age, the media wields immense power in shaping public opinion. One such powerful but controversial phenomenon is the media trial. Media trial refers to the prejudiced and sensational coverage of criminal cases and public controversies by the media, often before a fair judicial process takes place. While it is crucial for the media to inform the public and hold authorities accountable, the media trial has sparked debates regarding its impact on the presumption of innocence, fair trials, and the potential to prejudice the minds of the public and influence the judiciary.

# The Evolution and Impact of Media Trial

The concept of media trial has been around for centuries, but its influence has dramatically amplified with the advent of modern communication technologies. In the past, public trials and spectacles were held to gather

crowds and showcase the administration of justice. However, the rise of newspapers, television, and social media has transformed the media's role in shaping public discourse and opinion. Today, high-profile criminal cases, sensational crimes, or public controversies become media sensations almost instantly. These trials are broadcasted across various platforms, reaching millions of people globally.

The impact of media trials is profound. On one hand, it can serve as a powerful tool to highlight injustice, bring attention to critical issues, and promote accountability in the system. By exposing corruption, abuse of power, or societal problems, media trials have played a significant role in influencing policy changes and social reforms. They also provide a platform for victims to share their experiences and demand justice.

On the other hand, media trials can lead to the vilification of the accused even before they get their day in court. The sensationalism, biased reporting, and speculative coverage can taint



#### **VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023**

APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

# **Published by**

# Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

the public's perception, creating a presumption of guilt. This can severely impact the right to a fair trial, as jurors and witnesses may be influenced by media narratives. In many cases, media trials have led to vigilantism and mob justice, endangering the lives of those involved in the case. Furthermore, the pressure of media scrutiny can lead to compromises in the investigation and judicial process, hindering the delivery of justice.

# **Media Trials That Shaped Public Opinion**

Numerous high-profile cases have been subject to media trials, significantly impacting public opinion and the outcomes of these cases. Let's explore a few notable examples:

- 1. The O.J. Simpson Trial: In the mid-1990s, the trial of former football star O.J. Simpson for the murder of his ex-wife Nicole Brown Simpson and her friend Ronald Goldman captured the attention of the world. The media's extensive coverage, fueled by racial tensions and celebrity fascination, heavily influenced public opinion. The trial became a polarizing spectacle, and the media's portrayal of the events shaped perceptions of guilt and innocence.
- 2. The Amanda Knox Case: In 2007, the murder of British student Meredith Kercher in Italy became a media sensation. American student Amanda Knox, along with her Italian boyfriend and another man, was charged with the murder. The media coverage was intense and often biased, depicting Knox as guilty before the trial had concluded. conviction and subsequent acquittal sparked debates about media international sensationalism and its impact on justice.
- 3. **The MeToo Movement**: While not a single trial, the #MeToo movement exposed sexual harassment and assault allegations against numerous high-profile individuals, leading to a media trial of sorts. The movement relied heavily on social media to amplify the voices of victims and hold perpetrators accountable. However, the absence of due process in some

cases raised concerns about the potential for false allegations and media witch-hunts.

# Mitigating the Impact of Media Trials

To address the adverse consequences of media trials, it is essential to adopt measures that promote responsible journalism and protect the rights of the accused and victims. Some potential solutions include:

- 1. **Media self-regulation**: Media organizations should establish robust codes of conduct that emphasize fair and impartial reporting, avoiding speculative language, and refraining from prejudiced coverage. Self-regulation can foster a culture of responsible journalism.
- 2. **Sensitivity to victims and their families**: While the media has a duty to report on cases, it should also be sensitive to the feelings and privacy of victims and their families. Exploitative reporting can cause additional trauma to those already suffering.
- 3. **Reporting restrictions**: Courts can impose reporting restrictions to prevent prejudicial coverage that might influence the jury or witnesses. These restrictions can be lifted once the trial is concluded or when deemed appropriate by the court.
- 4. **Judicial guidelines**: Courts can issue guidelines to media outlets to ensure that they adhere to ethical reporting practices. These guidelines can emphasize the importance of fair and balanced reporting while respecting the presumption of innocence.

# The impact of media trials on judges at a subconscious level

The subconscious effect of media trials on judges is a critical aspect to consider in the context of the judicial system and fair trials. Judges, like any other human beings, are not immune to the influence of media coverage and public opinion. While they are trained to remain impartial and objective, the constant exposure to media narratives and sensationalized reporting can have subtle and unintended effects on their decision-making process.

# SPECULOS IN THE PROPERTY OF TH

#### **ILE LEX SPECULUM**

#### **VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023**

APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

## **Published by**

# Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

- 1. **Confirmation Bias:** Judges, being part of society, are exposed to media coverage just like any other individual. Media trials can create a confirmation bias, wherein judges may unknowingly lean towards pre-existing public perceptions of a case or a defendant. This bias can influence how judges interpret evidence and evaluate witness testimonies, potentially swaying their decisions.
- 2. **Prejudgment:** Prolonged exposure to media trials can inadvertently lead judges to form opinions about the guilt or innocence of the accused before the trial even begins. These preconceived notions may be hard to shake off, making it challenging for judges to maintain a neutral stance during the proceedings.
- 3. **Emotional Impact:** Media trials often evoke strong emotions among the public, especially in cases involving heinous crimes or sensitive social issues. Judges, while trained to remain detached, are not entirely immune to emotional influence. Media coverage that emphasizes the suffering of victims or portrays the accused in a negative light can evoke emotional responses that may affect the judge's judgment.
- 4. Pressure and Fear of Public Backlash: High-profile media trials can attract widespread attention and public interest. Judges may feel pressured to deliver a verdict that aligns with public sentiment to avoid backlash or criticism. This fear of public disapproval may inadvertently affect their decision-making process, compromising the principle of judicial independence.
- 5. **Information Overload:** Media trials often flood the public sphere with an abundance of information, some of which may be biased, inaccurate, or irrelevant. Judges may find it challenging to filter through this vast amount of information and separate fact from fiction, potentially leading to misinterpretations and erroneous conclusions.
- 6. **Impact on Fairness:** Fair trials require that judges base their decisions solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom and the application of the law. Media trials can disrupt

this fairness by introducing extraneous information and opinions that have not been subjected to the scrutiny of the legal process.

# **Addressing the Subconscious Effects**

To mitigate the subconscious impact of media trials on judges, several measures can be considered:

- 1. **Pre-Trial Voir Dire:** Before a trial begins, judges can conduct a thorough voir dire process to assess potential bias among the jurors and themselves. This can help identify any preconceived notions that might influence their decision-making.
- 2. **Media Awareness Training:** Judges could receive training on media literacy and the potential effects of media bias on decision-making. Such training can help them recognize and manage any subconscious influences from media coverage.
- 3. **Reporting Restrictions:** Courts can impose reporting restrictions on media outlets during ongoing trials, limiting the dissemination of potentially prejudicial information. This ensures that judges are not unduly influenced by sensationalized or speculative reporting.
- 4. **Conscious Effort for Impartiality**: Judges should be encouraged to continuously reflect on their decisions and consciously assess if their judgments are influenced by media trials or any other external factors.
- 5. **Strong Ethical Standards:** Upholding strong ethical standards in journalism can also help reduce the impact of media trials. Responsible reporting that emphasizes factual information over sensationalism can contribute to a fairer judicial process.

# The Ethical Dilemma: Freedom of Press vs. Right to Fair Trial

The ethical dilemma arising from the clash between freedom of the press and the right to a fair trial is a multifaceted issue that lies at the heart of modern democratic societies. Freedom of the press is a cornerstone of democracy, serving as a vital check on governmental power, promoting transparency, and empowering the public with information.



#### **VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023**

APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

# **Published by**

# Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

Journalists play a crucial role in uncovering corruption, highlighting social issues, and ensuring public accountability. However, this cherished freedom can sometimes collide with the right to a fair trial, which is a fundamental principle of justice enshrined in legal systems worldwide.

The right to a fair trial guarantees that every individual is presumed innocent until proven guilty in a court of law, and their guilt or innocence is determined impartially based on evidence and legal arguments. The media, with its vast reach and influence, possesses the significantly impact potential to perception and shape narratives surrounding a case long before it reaches the courtroom. When media trials occur, sensationalism, biased reporting, and prejudiced coverage may vilify the accused or prejudice the minds of potential jurors, potentially jeopardizing the right to a fair and unbiased trial.

Media trials often lead to public scrutiny and judgment that may be premature or uninformed, undermining the presumption of innocence. They can expose witnesses and victims to additional trauma and may even lead to unwarranted vigilantism. Moreover, the pressure of media attention can influence the behavior of law enforcement, prosecutors, and even judges, affecting the due process and integrity of the judicial system.

Finding a delicate balance between freedom of the press and the right to a fair trial is a formidable challenge. Responsible journalism that adheres to ethical standards can help mitigate the potential negative impact of media trials. Striving for accuracy, impartiality, and fairness in reporting is crucial. Journalists must avoid presenting opinions as facts and refrain from prejudging the guilt or innocence of the accused. Media outlets could also exercise restraint in their coverage of ongoing cases, reporting restrictions respecting when necessary and ensuring that they do not interfere with the legal process.

The ethical dilemma arising from the interplay of freedom of the press and the right to a fair trial demands thoughtful consideration and responsible practices from both journalists and media organizations. Balancing the imperative of keeping the public informed with the imperative of upholding the principles of justice is crucial to maintaining a democratic society that values truth, fairness, and individual rights.

## Media trial cases in India

As of my last update in September 2021, several high-profile media trial cases have taken place in India. Some notable examples include:

- 1. Aarushi Talwar Case: The Aarushi Talwar murder case, which occurred in 2008, received significant media attention. Aarushi Talwar, a 14-year-old girl, was found dead in her home, and her family's domestic help, Hemraj, was also found murdered on the rooftop. The case garnered intense media coverage, with various theories and speculations circulating in the media. The Talwar family members were initially arrested and tried for the murders, and the media extensively covered the proceedings, leading to a media trial. However, in 2017, the Allahabad High Court acquitted the parents, Rajesh and Nupur Talwar, due to a lack of evidence.
- 2. **Nirbhaya Gangrape Case**: The brutal gangrape and murder of a young woman in Delhi in December 2012 sparked nationwide outrage and led to extensive media coverage and public protests. The media trial played a significant role in bringing the case to the forefront and pressuring the authorities for swift action against the accused. In 2013, four of the accused were sentenced to death, while one committed suicide in jail, and a juvenile involved served three years in a reform home.
- 3. **Sheena Bora Murder Case**: The Sheena Bora murder case, which came to light in 2015, involved the murder of Sheena Bora, the daughter of Indrani Mukerjea. The media closely followed the investigation and court proceedings, portraying the case as a sensational crime involving complex family



#### **VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023**

APIS - 3920 - 0036 | ISBN - 978-81-964391-3-2

## **Published by**

# **Institute of Legal Education**

https://iledu.in

relationships and financial motives. The trial garnered significant media attention, raising questions about ethical reporting and media sensationalism.

- 4. **Asaram Bapu Case:** Asaram Bapu, a self-styled godman, was arrested in 2013 on charges of sexual assault against a minor. The case garnered substantial media coverage, with public interest surrounding the spiritual leader's arrest and subsequent trial. In 2018, Asaram Bapu was convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment by a Jodhpur court.
- 5. **Rhea Chakraborty Case**: The death of Bollywood actor Sushant Singh Rajput in 2020 led to intense media scrutiny and speculation. Sushant's girlfriend, Rhea Chakraborty, was heavily targeted by media coverage, with allegations and conspiracy theories circulating widely. The media trial became a subject of public debate, raising concerns about media ethics and the impact on the accused's mental health and safety.

It's essential to note that the landscape of media trials in India is continually evolving, and new cases may have emerged after my last update. For the latest information on media trial cases in India.

#### Conclusion

The media trial remains a controversial phenomenon that significantly influences public opinion, social discourse, and the administration of justice. While the media has a critical role in exposing wrongdoings and holding authorities accountable, it is essential to strike a balance between freedom of the press and the right to a fair trial. Responsible journalism, ethical guidelines, and regulation can help mitigate the adverse effects of media trials and promote a more informed, unbiased, and just society. As media continues to evolve, so must our approach to reporting, ensuring that the power of the press is harnessed responsibly for the greater good.

Media trials have become a double-edged sword in today's society. The impact on justice, the erosion of ethical reporting practices, and the potential for infringing upon the rights of the accused are issues that demand attention. Striking a balance between freedom of the press and the right to a fair trial is crucial for upholding the principles of justice and ensuring a well-informed society.

#### References

- 1. <a href="https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-7654-present-role-of-media-trial-in-india.html">https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-7654-present-role-of-media-trial-in-india.html</a>
- 2. <a href="https://blog.ipleaders.in/famous-cases-media-trials-india/">https://blog.ipleaders.in/famous-cases-media-trials-india/</a>
- 3. https://www.khuranaandkhurana.com/2 022/10/11/media-trials-and-its-implicationson-the-legal-system/
- 4. <a href="http://www.penacclaims.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Srishti-Ramchandani.pdf">http://www.penacclaims.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Srishti-Ramchandani.pdf</a>